Table S1. Hierarchical Logistic Regression of effects of Gestational Age (in weeks) on failing to attain a Good Level of Development on the EYFSP fitted as a linear, quadratic or cubic function

	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Variable	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Gestational Age (in Weeks)			
Linear	1.06 (1.04-1.09)***	1.04 (1.01-1.08)*	1.03 (0.99-1.08)
Quadratic	,	1.00 (1.00-1.01)	1.01 (0.99-1.02)
Cubic		,	1.00 (1.00-1.01)
R ² (Hosmer-Lemeshow)	.002	.002	.002
R ² (Cox-Snell)	.003	.003	.003
R^2 (Nagelkerke) $\chi^{2\dagger}$.004	.004	.004
χ^2 [†]	27.7***	1.9	0.4

Notes: N = 10337

[†] Significance denotes *change* in model fit from previous step in the hierarchical model [‡] Gestation Age x Academic Month of Birth

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Table S2. Hierarchical Logistic Regression of effects of Gestational Age on failing to attain a Good Level of Development on the EYFSP, with adjustment for Perinatal, and Education and Socioeconomic covariates.

	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Variable	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Gestational Age (in Weeks)	1.06 (1.04-1.09)***	1.06 (1.04-1.09)***	1.09 (1.06-1.12)***
Perinatal Registered Parity Sex [Male] Large for Gestational Age [Yes] Small for Gestational Age [Yes] Academic Month of Birth		1.12 (1.09-1.16)*** 2.08 (1.91-2.27)*** 0.80 (0.67-0.95)* 1.64 (1.45-1.85)*** 1.17 (1.16-1.19)***	` ,
Education & Socioeconomic English as Additional Language [Yes] Means Tested Benefit [Yes] Maternal Highest Qualification GCSE A-level Higher than A-Level			1.28 (1.17-1.41)*** 1.24 (1.13-1.37)*** 0.63 (0.56-0.70)*** 0.47 (0.42-0.56)*** 0.32 (0.28-0.37)***
R ² (Hosmer-Lemeshow) R ² (Cox-Snell) R ² (Nagelkerke) χ ^{2 †}	.002 .003 .004 26.9***	.080 .102 .138 46.8***	.111 .139 .188 44.0***

Notes: N = 9552, values in square-brackets indicate category tested
† Significance denotes *change* in model fit from previous step in the hierarchical model

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Table S3. Hierarchical Logistic Regression Model of predictors of failing to attain a Good Level of Development on the EYFSP due to being an Early Academic Start, compared to other Pre-term births that were not Summer Born

	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Variable	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
EAS vs. Pre-term Non Summer Born	4.75 (2.35-10.66)***	5.51 (2.65-12.69)***	6.07 (2.85-14.25)***
Perinatal Registered Parity Sex [Male] Large for Gestational Age [Yes] Small for Gestational Age [Yes] Gestational Age (in Weeks)		1.29 (1.11-1.50)*** 2.42 (1.65-3.56)*** 0.67 (0.35-1.31) 4.40 (2.33-8.80)*** 1.09 (1.01-1.19)*	1.17 (0.99-1.39) 2.86 (1.91-4.34)*** 0.61 (0.30-1.23) 5.87 (2.94-12.44)*** 1.08 (0.99-1.18)
Education & Socioeconomic English as Additional Language [Yes] Means Tested Benefit [Yes] Maternal Highest Qualification GCSE A-level Higher than A-Level			1.18 (0.79-1.77) 1.16 (0.74-1.81) 0.52 (0.31-0.89)* 0.42 (0.23-0.77)** 0.16 (0.09-0.29)***
R ² (Hosmer-Lemeshow) R ² (Cox-Snell) R ² (Nagelkerke) χ ^{2 †}	.030 .041 .054 21.0***	.106 .137 .183 23.2***	.171 .211 .281 24.4***

Notes: N = 505. EAS = Early Academic Start, values in square-brackets indicate category tested

[†] Significance denotes *change* in model fit from previous step in the hierarchical model

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Table S4. Hierarchical Logistic Regression Model of predictors of failing to attain a Good Level of Development on the EYFSP due to being an Early Academic Start, compared to other Summer Born non Pre-term births

	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Variable	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
EAS vs. Summer Born non Pre-term	3.22 (1.63-7.13)**	3.17 (1.57-7.11)**	3.02 (1.49-6.79)**
Perinatal Registered Parity Sex [Male] Large for Gestational Age [Yes] Small for Gestational Age [Yes] Academic Month of Birth		1.10 (1.02-1.18)* 2.27 (1.89-2.73)*** 1.08 (0.76-1.54) 1.62 (1.22-2.16)*** 1.20 (1.07-1.34)**	0.97 (0.89-1.04) 2.35 (1.95-2.84)*** 1.15 (0.80-1.67) 1.58 (1.19-2.12)** 1.24 (1.11-1.40)***
Education & Socioeconomic English as Additional Language [Yes] Means Tested Benefit [Yes] Maternal Highest Qualification GCSE A-level Higher than A-Level			1.34 (1.10-1.63)** 1.22 (0.99-1.51) 0.57 (0.43-0.74)*** 0.36 (0.26-0.49)*** 0.30 (0.23-0.40)***
R^2 (Hosmer-Lemeshow) R^2 (Cox-Snell) R^2 (Nagelkerke) $\chi^{2 \dagger}$.004 .006 .008 12.1***	.043 .057 .077 11.1***	.081 .105 .141 9.9**

Notes: N = 2020 . EAS = Early Academic Start, values in square-brackets indicate category tested

[†] Significance denotes *change* in model fit from previous step in the hierarchical model

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

Table S5. Hierarchical Logistic Regression Model of predictors of failing to attain a Good Level of Development on the EYFSP due to being an Early Academic Start, compared to other Summer Born Pre-term births

Variable	Step 1 OR (95% CI)	Step 2 OR (95% CI)	Step 3 OR (95% CI)
Perinatal Registered Parity Sex [Male] Large for Gestational Age [Yes] Small for Gestational Age [Yes] Gestational Age (in Weeks)		1.11 (0.76-1.66) 2.73 (1.15-6.76)* 1.04 (0.17-8.47) 2.38 (0.54-16.94) 0.90 (0.75-1.66)	1.23 (0.71-1.83) 3.10 (1.22-8.37)* 1.12 (0.17-10.07) 2.15 (0.40-17.06) 0.90 (0.74-1.10)
Education & Socioeconomic English as Additional Language [Yes] Means Tested Benefit [Yes] Maternal Highest Qualification GCSE A-level Higher than A-Level			0.85 (0.32-2.25) 0.93 (0.27-3.12) 1.19 (0.29-4.68) 0.31 (0.06-1.50) 0.35 (0.07-1.68)
R ² (Hosmer-Lemeshow) R ² (Cox-Snell) R ² (Nagelkerke) χ ² †	.035 .042 .060 4.8*	.094 .108 .153 6.8**	.147 .163 .232 5.9*

Notes: N = 112. EAS = Early Academic Start, values in square-brackets indicate category tested

[†] Significance denotes *change* in model fit from previous step in the hierarchical model

^{*}p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001